REMARKS

By this amendment, claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 13, 18, 19, and 22 are revised and claim 17 is canceled to place this application in immediate condition for allowance. Currently, claims 1, 3-14, and 18-22 are before the Examiner for consideration on their merits.

Based on the interview mentioned below, claim 1 as proposed to the Examiner is further revised by the removal of the phrase "by one or several convex parts" to remove any antecedent basis of redundancy problems. The term relating to the "focal point" remains in the preamble to provide antecedent basis for its later recitation.

First and in response to the rejection based on indefiniteness, the claims have been extensively amended to address the specific issues of indefiniteness noted in the rejection. Applicants also submit that claim 9 is not indefinite when reading the specification in light of the claim. Also, claim 18 is believed to be definite by defining a feature of the pump, which is then used to measure the pressure of the eye.

Applicants' attorney also wishes to thank Examiner Booth and his supervising examiner, Mr. David Isabella, for granting a personal interview on April 15, 2010. As part of this interview, Applicants' attorney proposed claims to better define the invention, and particularly with respect to the inclined areas of the lens and the fact that these areas extend along the entire posterior side of the lens. The Examiner's indicated that this language overcame the rejection based on Stork.

The secondary references do not make up for the failing in Stork so that their combination with Stork cannot establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Application No.: 10/576,326

Since the indefiniteness issues are resolved by the revisions to the claims and the

prior art rejection is no longer appropriate, Applicants submit that this amendment is

proper for entry, even though it is submitted after a final rejection, particularly given

the indication that the rejection based on Stork is no longer appropriate.

Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to examine this application in light of this

response and pass all pending claims onto issuance.

If the Examiner believes that another interview would be helpful in expediting

the allowance of this application, the Examiner is requested to telephone the

undersigned at 202-835-1753.

The above constitutes a complete response to all issues raised in the Office

Action dated January 19, 2010.

Again, reconsideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Please charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account

No. 50-1088.

Respectfully submitted,

CLARK & BRODY

Christopher W. Brody

Registration No. 33,613

Customer No. 22902

1700 Diagonal Road #510 Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: 202-835-1111 Facsimile: 703-504-9415

Docket No.: 12007-0070

Date: April 19, 2010

9